

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/773,352	01/31/2001	Kenneth Carroll	CRL/002	4024
26291	7590 06/03/2003			
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVE FIRST FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
SHREWSBUR	RY, NJ 07702		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

``	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/773,352	CARROLL, KENNETH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUALO DATE A LICE	Phi D A	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sneet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>May 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,20 and 23-29</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,20 and 23-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Line 3 "shaft a tensile" is indefinite. Should it be "shaft having a tensile"?

Line 6 "disposed on the first end" is indefinite. Should it be "disposed on the second end"?

3. The indicated allowability of claims 17, 20, 23-29 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Longley et al, Tomkinson, Belser, Grimes, and Giannuzzi. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longley et al (2911859) in view of Tomkinson (1598407).

Longley et al (figure 5) shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having an attachment end (86, inherently capable of being adapted to couple to

Art Unit: 3637

a fall restraint), a piercing end (94) terminating in a point, a first member (92) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member(91) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a collar (95) slidably disposed on the center shaft, a spring (93) biases at least one of the pivotal members away from the shaft, the piercing end terminating in a point or knife edge, the first member and second member each including an end that meet to form a point that extends beyond the piercing end of the shaft when the first member and the second member are in the first position.

Longley et al does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft.

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Longley et al to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson.

Longley et al as modified shows the collar having a hole formed therethrough and having a locking mechanism disposed therein, the mechanism being a threaded member.

Art Unit: 3637

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longley et al in view of Tomkinson and Grimes (1169635)

Longley et al shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having a first end (94) for piercing through the building structure and a second end, a first member (91) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member pivotally coupled to the center shaft, the first member and the second member being rotatable between a first position proximate the shaft and a second position away from the shaft, the first member and the second member each including an end that meet to form a point that extends beyond the first end of the shaft when the first and second members are in the first position, a collar (95) slidably disposed on the center shaft, the collar and the first and second members sandwiching the building therebetween when the first and second members being in the second position and the collar is slid away from the second end.

Longley et al does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft.

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

Grimes shows a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft for attachments thereof.

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Longley et al to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson, and having a ring disposed on the second end would enable attachments of other structures to the anchor as taught by Grimes.

7. Claims 23, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longley et al in view of Tomkinson as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Grimes.

Longley et al as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint.

Grimes shows an attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint (the ring).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Longley et al's modified structure to show the attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint because it would allow the attachment end to hang other structures to the anchor as taught by Grimes.

Per claim 29, Longley et al as modified shows the attachment end comprising a ring defining a hole spaced from the shaft for coupling the fall restraint.

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longley et al in view of Tomkinson and Grimes (1169635)

Art Unit: 3637

Longley et al shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having a first end (94) having a point edge, a second end, a first member (91) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member(92) nesting with the first member and coupled to the center shaft at a common axis of rotation with the first member, the first member and the second member being rotatable between a first position proximate the shaft and a second position away from the shaft, a pivot member (90) disposed through the shaft and coupling the first and second members, a collar (95) slidably repositionable along the center shaft, the collar having a body and a flange extending radially outward from an end of the body facing the first end of the shaft.

Longley et al does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft.

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

Grimes shows a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft for attachments thereof.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Longley et al to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring

Art Unit: 3637

disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson, and having a ring disposed on the second end would enable attachments of other structures to the anchor as taught by Grimes.

9. Claim 17, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belser (Re35358) in view of Tomkinson (1598407).

Belser (figure 1) shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having an attachment end (16a, inherently capable of being adapted to couple to a fall restraint), a piercing end (16) terminating in a point, a first member (26 right) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member(26 left) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a collar (23) slidably disposed on the center shaft, a spring (27) biases at least one of the pivotal members away from the shaft, the piercing end terminating in a point or knife edge, the first member and second member each including an end that meet to form a point that extends beyond the piercing end of the shaft when the first member and the second member are in the first position.

Belser does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft.

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Belser to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson.

Belser as modified shows the collar having a hole formed therethrough and having a locking mechanism disposed therein, the mechanism being a threaded member.

10. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belser in view of Tomkinson and Giannuzzi (4668144)

Belser shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having a first end (16a) for piercing through the building structure and a second end, a first member (26 left) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member (26 right) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, the first member and the second member being rotatable between a first position proximate the shaft and a second position away from the shaft, the first member and the second member each including an end that meet to form a point that extends beyond the first end of the shaft when the first and second members are in the first position, a collar (23) slidably disposed on the center shaft, the collar and the first and second members sandwiching the building therebetween when the first and second members being in the second position and the collar is slid away from the second end.

Art Unit: 3637

Belser does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft.

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

Giannuzzi shows an attachment member (28) attached to the attachment end to enable carrying other object (col 4 lines 65-69).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Belser to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson, and having a ring disposed on the second end would enable attachments of other structures to the anchor as taught by Giannuzzi.

11. Claims 23, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belser in view of Tomkinson as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Giannuzzi.

Belser as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint.

Art Unit: 3637

Giannuzzi shows an attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Belser's modified structure to show the attachment end being coupled to a fall restraint because it would allow the attachment end to hang other structures to the anchor as taught by Giannuzzi.

Per claim 29, Belser as modified shows the attachment end comprising a ring defining a hole spaced from the shaft for coupling the fall restraint.

12. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belser in view of Tomkinson and Giannuzzi.

Belser shows an anchor comprising a center shaft having a tensile strength, the shaft having a first end (16a) having a point edge, a second end, a first member (26 left) pivotally coupled to the center shaft, a second member(26 right) nesting with the first member and coupled to the center shaft at a common axis of rotation with the first member, the first member and the second member being rotatable between a first position proximate the shaft and a second position away from the shaft, a pivot member (24) disposed through the shaft and coupling the first and second members, a collar (23) slidably repositionable along the center shaft, the collar having a body and a flange extending radially outward from an end of the body facing the first end of the shaft.

Belser does not show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft.

Art Unit: 3637

Tomkinson shows a locking mechanism (68, 67) coupled to a collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft to secure the collar to the shaft (col 3 lines 104-105).

Giannuzzi shows an attachment member (28) attached to the attachment end to enable carrying other object (col 4 lines 65-69).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Belser to show the shaft having a tensile strength of at least 5000 pounds, a locking mechanism coupled to the collar and having a first end movable relative to the collar and bias able against the shaft to fix the position of the collar relative to the shaft, a ring disposed on the second end and defining a hole spaced from the shaft because having a shaft with at least 5000 pounds tensile strength would ensure the shaft having sufficient strength to withstand against the turning torque, and the locking mechanism would secure the collar to the shaft as taught by Tomkinson, and having a ring disposed on the second end would enable attachments of other structures to the anchor as taught by Giannuzzi.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 20, 23-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different anchoring elements.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A

June 1, 2003